Minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on the 13th of June 2018

Council members	Guido Bakker (until 16:06), Jern Ken Chew, Pim van Helvoirt, Bram Jaarsma, Michele Murgia, Kjeld Oostra (from 15:10), Sebastian Proos, Loraine Smith (from 15:05);
Council assistants	-;
Absent	Sasha Borovitskaja, Sofie ten Brink, Quinta Dijk, Atma Jyoti Mahapatra, David Nelck, Mees van Rees, Teo Todercan;
Guest(s)	Moataz Rageb *central student assessor,* Jason Biemond *FSR-FdG*; 5 visitors
Minutes	Tamara van den Berg *Ambtelijk secretaris*

Concept agenda

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Approving the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
7. Setting the agenda
8. Information security policy
9. Protest march for education + aftermath
10. Model-OER bachelor & master
11. ITK: critical self-reflection
12. GV: Kaderbrief
13. GV: Kader Kwaliteitszorg
14. Internationalization
15. Honours & IIS
16. Sustainability
17. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
18. Input request from the FSR's
19. To the media
20. Questions
21. Sluiting

1. Opening
Pim opens the meeting at 15.04h and welcomes everyone.

2. Mail
The council discusses the in- and outgoing mail.
Pim and Guido will attend the first meeting of ASO and inform Tamara about their schedule.

3. Concept minutes
The minutes of PV180516, PV180523, PV180530, and PV180606 are set.

4. Checking the action list
The action list gets updated.

5. Announcements
- Guido says that ISO was not asked to co-sign the letter on transition programs that was initiated by the FSR-FMG. Tamara explains that the deadline for signing the letter has not finished yet, so Guido can contact the initiative takers and try to include ISO.
- Bram has a meeting on Monday about the request for institutional tuition fees but did not receive the documentation yet. Bram asks for a mandate to discuss this based on the CSR's stances. The council agrees and asks for an update afterward.
- Pim gives an update on the informal talk between CSR, CvB, and FSR-FEB on the advice on the numeri fixi. The FSR-FEB will receive an evaluation of the quality of education and will afterward write a new advice. The CSR will be asked for advice afterwards.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
The written updates are discussed briefly.

-- Kjeld Oostra enters the meeting. --

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes.

8. Information security policy
The CSR discusses the request for consent on the Informatiebeveiligingsbeleid and the Acceptable Use Policy.
This morning, Michele attended an information meeting where questions were answered and new points have been raised.
- Concrete policies/documents regarding the security policy will be sent to the CSR after the Privacy policy has been set.
- Developments regarding 'open access' will be taken into account, mostly coming down to a check on intellectual property. The COR addressed a point on open source programs and the possibility to download these.

    Pim asks how the content of what students write/use is being controlled and up to what level this can be regulated. Pim is concerned whether the barrier for control and intervening is high enough. Michele will also check to what level VPN can be used as a backdoor.

Questions that the CSR will ask the CvB and Frank van Tatenhove during the OV:
- How will developments regarding 'open access' be taken into account?
- In reference to article 2.4 of the Acceptable Use Policy, students indeed have the responsibility to treat matters that are privacy-sensitive with care but should be informed accordingly as well.
- The Acceptable Use Policy compels students who use their own devices to take various measures, such as keeping the apparatuses up to date in terms of software settings. How is the UvA going to check this and can they actually demand this?
- How is the CvB going to regulate the ban on accessing pornographic or racist sites or the practice of streaming or file sharing? And how does this relate to the later mentioned 'control on the basis of content' (rather than control on the basis of the use of facilities)?
- How is the transition made from anonymized personal data to a specific research into a student?

- What does 'randapparatuur' mean?
- The CvB decides 'in circumstances that deviate from the AUP 'after getting an advice from a relevant party (FG or ICT beheer). Should there be some sort of security/privacy committee that gets consulted first?

45 Michele sends the questions about the *Informatiebeveiligingsbeleid* and Acceptable Use Policy to Frank van Tatenhove in preparation to the OV on June 19th. **(action)**

9. Protest march for education + aftermath

The CSR discusses taking a stance about the course of events on June 8th.

Pim says that the CvB is of the intention to talk to the students affected during the protest.

Guido asks whether a permission was requested for the protest. Michele explains that a permission
50 was requested (and granted) for the protest but not for setting up a camp. Sebastian says that permission for a protest is not needed. The legality of the protest is discussed.

Due to his absence, Mees has emailed the council about his negative position regarding the protest and his view that the CSR should not take a stance on the issue. Michele wants to take a stance against the CvB. Guido wants to either condemn the protest due to its (un-)legal status or not take a stance as CSR at all. Kjeld
55 wants to discuss the issue with the CvB and only support the protest against the OCW-budget cuts only. Jason agrees in taking a stance against these budget cuts. Sebastian and Loraine want to support the protest unconditionally. Ken asks why the CvB does not have a protocol for dealing with protest. Bram suggests linking the protest to the work of the committee D&D and states that many students are unsatisfied that after the Maagdenhuis occupation and committees, the promised changes did not happen. Guido questions
60 the legitimacy of this request for changes following from the Maagdenhuis occupation. Pim says that a conversation with the CvB should take place before taking a stance. Ken stresses the importance of the university allowing students to peacefully express their opinions.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to writes a letter in which they condemn the police violence. The
65 CSR wants to guarantee that students can stand for their opinions in a peaceful manner and the CSR understands that protesters were not satisfied with the developments since the Maagdenhuis and are thereby targeting the CvB.

In favor 8

Against 5

70 *Blanco* 0

Abstain 0

The voting proposal is adopted.

Michele, Kjeld, Sebastian, and Bram draft a letter about the protest and send this for an editorial round until
75 Friday, June 15th, 15.00h. **(action)**

10. Model-OER bachelor & master

The CSR discusses the reply of the CvB to their advice on the model-OER BA and MA.

Pim states that JZ has agreed that OC's will be granted consenting rights on the regulation that defines the mandatory presence at *hoorcolleges.*

The CSR decides to send a reaction to the advice request of the modellen-OER Bachelor and Master
80 stating that the CSR wants to grant consenting rights for the decentral medezeggenschap (OC's) according to the WHW on mandatory presence at lectures. **(decision)**

Tamara will inform Mariska that the CSR can give a positive advice if the demand (as discussed with *Juridische Zaken*) about the decentral consenting rights is granted. Pim will draft a letter on the advice request modellen-OER bachelor and master for an editorial round until Monday 12.00ur. **(action)**

85

-- Guido Bakker states that De Vrije Student condemns the criminality that the CSR has decided to support with their letter about the protest, and after this leaves the meeting. --

11. ITK: critical self-reflection
The CSR discusses the critical self-reflection for the Institutional Quality Assurance Audit.
Introduction about the UvA No comments

90 **Analysis in chapter 1** Pim says that it is sometimes unclear what happens with policy documents, how they are implemented, and how they are followed up.

Analysis in chapter 2 No comments

Analysis in chapter 3 Pim says that the involvement of OC's in the quality assurance system should be included in the ITK. Bram suggests including a critical note on UvA-data. Kjeld suggests raising the
95 (danger of) profiling. Bram says that staff of the FdR is currently looking into UvA-data and the possibility of predicting and nudging based on this information. The FSR-FdR is of the opinion that this should not happen due to the wrong incentives and solutions presented.

Analysis in chapter 4 Pim says adds that (note of p53) strengthening a link between the professional work field and the university, that this is very program specific. Kjeld says that it should be made clear
100 on which table a discussion or decision is made.

Additions to the Reflection Ken says that the work that is being done on functional limitation should be included.

12. GV: Kaderbrief
The CSR discusses the Kaderbrief 2019 in preparation for the GV on June 15th.
Kjeld says that the building at SP942 is purposed for education and research (2/3rd) and co-creation
105 (1/3rd). Ken asks about the inclusion of a budget for internationalization. Pim says that the general policy is included, but that it is not specified on what the money will be spent, and suggests asking what the central policy budget will be spent on. Ken and Loraine ask why there is no central policy budget for diversity. Pim explains the (de-)central policy budgets. Loraine asks about the budget for bottom-up initiatives on diversity. Kjeld suggests discussing the central policy budgets in the GV and
110 seeing whether there are problems in this or whether something is missing. Pim says that the midterm review can have financial consequences, although Erik Boels had stated that this probably won't be the case. Pim says that the financial problems of the FMG are clearly taken up in the Kaderbrief.

Topics for the GV: (de-)central policy budgets, the ICAI building, the *Huisvestingsplan,* financial consequences of the midterm review financial consequences, the BC rate reduction.

13. GV: Kader Kwaliteitszorg
115 *The CSR discusses the Kader Kwaliteitszorg in preparation for the GV on June 15th.*
Pim asks whether someone from the council wants to help him to re-read the Kader Kwaliteitszorg in preparation for the GV, to see whether only textual changes have been proposed or if other things have been missed. Nobody offers to help.

Kjeld says that student satisfaction monitor was constantly changed into 'NSE'. Kjeld says that the role
120 of FSR's and OC's to right to write an unsolicited advice and to be included in the cycle are important.

14. Internationalization
The CSR discusses the draft advice Internationalization and prepares for the UCO meeting on June 14th.
Ken drafted a list of recommendations that the CSR composed over the year in reaction to the work of the working group Internationalization, and he has worked with the FSR's to include their stances in the list. Pim says that the advice of the working group is in the right direction but still too abstract and
125 therefore cannot be implemented.

CSR's standpoint Kjeld is positive about Ken's proposal. Bram says that internationalization is taken as given, but should be up for discussion. Bram disagrees with the guidelines for language change as they are supposed to guarantee the quality but cannot ensure this. Bram states that the CSR should not see internationalization as inherently being the best thing for the university and often happens for financial reasons. Kjeld suggests stressing that internationalization always should happen for the benefit of education and that the UvA should guarantee this.

Pim asks for the draft of the language change procedure, and Ken will share this. **(action)**

Ken says that the university and municipality forward the responsibility for housing issues to each other, and will add a recommendation on student housing. Ken will compose the list of CSR's recommendations in a draft letter based on the additions of the PV for an editorial round until Friday, June 22nd 15.00h. **(action)**

Moataz asks why the pilot mentions 'brave spaces' instead of 'safe spaces' and Ken says these are spaces that encourage people to participate and share their voice. Moataz says that the definition used for the international classroom is a 'brave and safe space', and Ken will discuss this with Anouk Tso and Brigitte Widdershoven.

Pim stresses that the language policy was again postponed. Ken says that a draft was sent to the UCO.

15. Honours & IIS

The CSR discusses the proposal of the FSR-FNWI to restructure the IIS honours programs.

Bram asks whether people outside of the *medezeggenschap* also want to change IIS. Pim and Kjeld say that Lucy Wenting is taking this up. Kjeld suggests first discussing the concerns first with the FSR's.

Excellence- Kjeld says that the FSR-FNWI is not against excellence. Jason says that the FSR-FdG is neither. Kjeld is not sure how the FSR-FNWI feels about the name of the proposed committee.

End terms- Bram says that the FSR-FdR is against the demand for honours programs to offer at least 1 course from IIS. Moreover, the end terms for honours course need to be reconsidered.

OC- Kjeld asks who, besides honours students, could review the courses, Pim says that a balance between regular and honours students would be preferable.

Exam committee- Pim says that the position of the exam committee needs to be reviewed. Kjeld says that they would probably still fall under IIS and therefore the FNWI. Jason suggests following the example of the Leiden University. Pim is against this proposal.

Michele and Kjeld will forward the CSR's question to the FSR-FNWI. **(action)**

16. Sustainability

The CSR discusses which points need to be included in an UvA-wide policy on Sustainability.

Kjeld says that sustainability should be a high priority when designing and building new locations. Bram stresses the need for curricular sustainability. Pim says that the sustainability of new buildings should also be addressed at the GV. Bram and Kjeld stress that the maintenance of buildings should also be done in a sustainable matter.

Pim suggests including the need for sustainability in (minor) facility matters into the central vision.

Kjeld says that Michele has proposed to cancel exchange trips outside of Europe for shorter than 3 months because they pollute without accomplishing too much. Kjeld says this might be a fair point. Ken says this is an ambitious idea which will not resonate with students. Kjeld says that the university should take this into account when offering exchange programs and when organizing business trips.

Pim and Kjeld suggest asking whether concrete goals can be linked to the KPI's and long-term plans of the university.

Pim suggests also offering education that deals with topics about sustainability.

17. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business

- **Council dinner.** Moataz suggests organizing a council dinner at Pizzabakkers where people can get a pizza and drink. Loraine, Jason, Ken, and Sebastian want to use the budget. Moataz offers to organize the dinner.

  Voting proposal: The CSR decides to use a maximum of €270,- of their budget for a council dinner, offering one pizza and one drink per person.
  In favor 7
  Against 0
  Blanco 1
  Abstain 1
  The voting proposal is adopted.

18. Input request from the FSR's

None.

19. To the media

- The after movie of the mental health week.

20. Questions

- Tamara asks the council to prepare for the Actie Brandspuit (cleaning of the office in CREA) that will happen on June 15th.
- Pim asks the council to be present and finish their council year until August 31st.

21. Ending

Pim closes the meeting at 17.49h.

Decisions

180613-01	The CSR decides to writes a letter in which they condemn the police violence. The CSR wants to guarantee that students can stand for their opinions in a peaceful manner and the CSR understands that protesters were not satisfied with the developments since the Maagdenhuis and are thereby targeting the CvB.
180613-02	The CSR writes a reply to the CvB's reaction on their advice about the modellen-OER Bachelor and Master stating that the CSR wants to guarantee the consenting rights for the decentral medezeggenschap, according to the WHW, on mandatory presence at lectures.
180613-03	The CSR decides to use a maximum of €270,- of their budget for a council dinner, offering one pizza and one drink per person.

Action list

180613-01	Michele sends the questions about the *Informatiebeveiligingsbeleid* and Acceptable Use Policy to Frank van Tatenhove in preparation to the OV on June 19th.
180613-02	Michele, Kjeld, Sebastian, and Bram draft a letter about the protest and send this for an editorial round until Friday June 15th, 15.00h.
180613-03	Pim drafts a letter on the advice request modellen-OER bachelor and master for an editorial round until Monday 12.00ur.
180613-04	Ken forwards the draft of the language policy to the CSR-DB.
180613-05	Ken writes a draft letter with the CSR's recommendations on Internationalization for an editorial round until Friday June 22nd 15.00h.
180613-06	Michele and Kjeld forward the CSR's question about the restructuring of IIS honours programs to the FSR-FNWI.

~~**180606-01**~~	~~Pim fills in the online consultation to inform that CSR is against the plans of the government to increase the student loan interest on June 6th.~~
180606-02	Pim implements the changes on functional limitation into the Studentstatuut to send these to JZ.
~~**180530-02**~~	~~Taskforce E&A checks whether there are KPI's in the *Instellingsplan* that indicate something else then is being stated in the mid-term review.~~
~~**180530-05**~~	~~Quinta and Moataz check the CSR budget for organizing a council dinner.~~
180509-04	Pim incorporates the points of the study associations and internationalization in the Studentenstatuut and forwards these to Miek Krol.
~~**180509-05**~~	~~Pim asks Erik Boels for an overview of the general costs of international students, to get more insight into the rationale of money division.~~
~~**180502-05**~~	~~Ken tries to gather more information about the rationale behind the division of university spending.~~
180418-11	Pim asks ISO during the next AV to give an explanation of their statement about the national labour market.
180411-03	Taskforce F&F considers the proposal to name the new bridge at REC.

Pro memorie

140908-04	The DB is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
140908-05	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Taskforce heads have the final responsibility in this.
141208-04	The taskforce heads notify the PR-taskforce after their meetings which files should be raised in the media.
150420-01	All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20h and write their updates linked to all specific files of the taskforces. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 12h.
160502-01	Pim, Teo and Sebastian take good care of the plants.
161017-04	The taskforce heads make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their taskforces about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.
161017-05	The taskforce heads oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
180207-01	Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.
180319-01	The DB makes sure that the CSR 18-19 gets informed properly about the request for advice on *schakeltrajecten* that can be expected in September 2018.