Minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on the 23rd of May 2018

Council members	Guido Bakker, Sasha Borovitskaja, Jern Ken Chew, Pim van Helvoirt, Michele Murgia, Kjeld Oostra, Mees van Rees, Loraine Smith;
Council assistants	David Nelck (15:02), Quinta Dijk;
Absent	Sofie ten Brink, Bram Jaarsma, Atma Jyoti Mahapatra, Sebastian Proos, Teo Todercan;
Guest(s)	Moataz Rageb *Studentassessor-CvB* (15:03), Jason Biemond *FSR-FdG* (15:03-16:32)
Minutes	Tamara van den Berg *Ambtelijk secretaris*

Concept agenda

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Approving the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, Studentassessor-CvB
7. Setting the agenda
8. Privacy
9. Numerus fixus: FEB
10. Catering Petition
11. Burnouts
12. UCO-recap
13. Blended Learning
14. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
15. Input request from the FSR's
16. To the media
17. Questions
18. Sluiting

1.   Opening
Pim opens the meeting at 15.01h and welcomes everyone.

2.   Mail
The council discusses the in- and outgoing mail.

3. Concept minutes

Setting the minutes of PV180425, PV180502, PV180509, and PV180516 is postponed until next week.

4. Checking the action list

The action list gets updated.

5. Announcements

5   • Ken is waiting for information on the language policy and internationalization from Brigitte Widdershoven and Anouk Tso to have a constructive discussion following the given timeline.

• The Education Day will take place on May 31st.

• Pim asks all taskforces to give an overview for the field days by today, and a first version of the transfer file by Friday, May 25th.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, Studentassessor-CvB

10 *The written updates are discussed briefly.*

• Loraine gives a short update on the Transition Program working group that she attended together with Bram. The funding of trajectories from 1st money flows was discussed, as well as not setting a rigid 30 ECT cap.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set with changes.

15 *Taken off:* UCO-recap.

8. Privacy

The CSR decides on their reaction to the request for consent on the Privacy policy, processing of personal data.

Mees asks what the 'security principle' denotes. Michele says these concern the ideals of privacy that the UvA wishes to uphold.

Pim asks whether the CSR should have consenting rights on the *model verwerkingsovereenkomst* as it

20 defines levels of data access for individuals and the authority levels. Michele says that the model flows from the privacy policy, but does not concern a concrete elaboration. Kjeld asks whether this is substantial enough to refrain from giving consent. Pim does find consenting right on the *model verwerkingsovereenkosmt* a breaking point, but no other council member agrees.

Michele says that the information security policy is still to be expected, but that the privacy policy

25 seems decent. There are no other comments on the documents and regulations following the Privacy Policy. The council is positive about the reply of the CvB.

Michele says that the faculty *FBG* will not be included in the advice as there is already an existing function at the faculty (contact persons).

30 **Voting proposal:** The CSR decides to consent to the Privacy policy and processing of personal data.

In favor 10

Against 2

Blanco 1

Abstain 0

35 *The voting proposal is adopted.*

Michele will write the reaction letter, including a note on the *model verwerkersovereenkomst* and the followed procedure. **(action)** Michele will inform Mariska Herweijer about the CSR's consenting to the Privacy policy and to discuss the procedure for setting this policy and regulations. **(action)**

9. Numerus Fixus FEB

40 *The CSR decides on their reaction to the request for advice on setting a numerus fixus for the BSc Business Administration and the BSc Economics & Business Economics 2019-2020.*

Sasha asks in which language the CSR will write their advice. Michele suggests writing a bilingual advice: Dutch for the CvB and English for the FSR-FEB.

Loraine says that the amount of international students has risen substantially more than the intake of
45 Dutch students. The degree of accessibility is therefore different for these students. David asks whether the dropout rates decrease if there is more selection. Jason says that the first year of a program already has a selective character and therefore does not need extra selection at the gate. Loraine stresses the difference between selection prior to, or during, a program. Guido says that selection at the gate is needed if the CSR is against the BSA. Kjeld says that the BSA will take place
50 regardless of the CSR's stances, and therefore an extra selection is not needed.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to give a positive advice on the request for advice on setting a numerus fixus for the BSc Business Administration and the BSc Economics & Business Economics 2019-2020.
55 *In favor* 0
Against 10
Blanco 2
Abstain 1
The voting proposal is not adopted.
60

Points that will be addressed in the reaction letter:
- Securing of educational quality cannot be guaranteed by *numerus fixus*;
- It is debatable whether *numerus fixus* selects the best students;
- Limiting accessibility;
65 - Limiting the possibility to switch to/from other programs;
- Introducing *numerus fixus* should not change the nature of large-scale education;
- Standing by the advice of FSR and OC's on program specific points + mention that FSR and OC's gave a negative advice;
- If choosing to limit the student intake then marketing and attracting international students should
70 be critically considered;

Loraine will write a concept letter for an editorial round until May 28th 13.00h. **(action)**

10. Catering petition

The CSR discusses the petition on a cheaper and healthier caterer sent by Stout and supported by ASVA, UvASociaal, Red UvA, De Vrije Student and INTER.
75 Pim says that already 2800 students support the catering petition. Michele says this is higher than the turnouts for the central student council elections.

Voting clarifications; Kjeld is against signing the petition as his FSR is against this as well. Moreover, Kjeld disagrees with the reaction of Stout that was given during the election period about the efficiency

of the petition. LIEF is in favor of offering cheaper options in the canteen, but against giving
misinformation in the petition. The FSR-FNWI is discussing the prices with EnjoyToday and Kjeld says
that the contact should be made via FS and EnjoyToday, not via CvB. Michele and Mees follow Kjeld,
and Mees says that the petition is up for parties, and not councils. Guido says that parties have
promised to improve the situation of students, and the council has to show to students that they are
being heard. Kjeld says that the CSR would be making false promises as they cannot guarantee the
outcome, but Guido says that it is more important to show that you are trying than to reach the goal
per se. Pim does not care about party politics and says that the CSR is obliged to follow students who
show what is important to them. Pim suggests talking to EnjoyToday and asking for a public reaction.
Michele says that the CSR should be against the monopoly of the caterer.

Moataz says that the CvB is already aware of the petition and he explains what has been done in the
past to hire (more) local suppliers and to lower prices. Kjeld says that Cormet and EnjoyToday are
working on a list of companies that offer lower canteen prices to see what can be done.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to support the catering petition that was initiated at the FNWI.
In favor 3
Against 5
Blanco 2
Abstain 3
The voting proposal is not adopted.

Pim will call Folia to ask them to remove the CSR from the list of parties supporting the catering
petition. **(action)**

11. Burnouts
The CSR discusses the overview of working hours and the memo on burnouts in the medezeggenschap.
Quinta gives an update on the overview of the CSR's working hours she had been working on. The
average of all working hours does not show a high overload: the average is about 24 hours per week
but differs between 7-50 hours on council work per week and per person. The stress level on average
was 3,45 out of 5.

Ken suggests using the median, but Quinta says that this did not show a large difference. Sasha
suggests asking people who did not yet do so to specify what are council/work/studies and those
missing to send their hours.

Quinta addresses what might be internal problems, and asks whether people were too busy with
exams or if there is a lack of commitment. Quinta suggests informing the next council about ways of
tracking hours and then bringing an oversight to the CvB but not bringing the overview that was
created for now. Next week, the memo on burnouts will be discussed.

12. Blended Learning
The CSR gets informed about developments regarding blended learning and CILT.
Kjeld disagrees with the didactic use of the term 'deep learning'. Sasha and Michele ask about the
meaning of the terms used by CILT. Michele suggests to invest in primary processes and not in projects
and states to be against standard funding for all faculties. Pim says that CILT is pushing for
digitalization because of exam fraud. Guido is in favor of teacher professionalization as knowledge
clips can alleviate education and give an introduction to classes and about the goal of the program.
Teachers need to be shown how to develop these skills, without increasing their workload. Kjeld

suggests including tactics about giving lectures and giving assignments. Kjeld says that activated learning could be positive, depending on the program, and should be considered at the program level. Kjeld would like to see a clarification for the way in which the quality of education would be maintained if there is a larger influx. Sasha is positive about including the positive experiences of students as examples. Michele says that the evaluation should also evaluate Blended Learning. Michele stresses the importance of protecting privacy and following the decisions of the teachers and/or the COR. Kjeld says that the plans can improve the accessibility of trans-disciplinary courses as students can attend lectures and exams digitally.

-- Jason Biemond leaves the meeting --

13. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
None.

14. Input request from the FSR's
None.

15. To the media
- Guido proposes to give an overview of all topics the CSR has voted on during PV's.
- Loraine says that the working group Transition Programs is still looking to get into contact with transition program students or people with experience of these trajectories.
- Letter of *WOinActie*.

16. Questions
- Quinta informs the council about the meeting with the UvA-Admissions project group on May 24th 3-4pm. The location will be announced later.
- Moataz suggests taking up the organization of the boat trip
- David asks how the night of protest was. Michele says the event was successful as 300-400 students showed up and the event lasted until 23.30h.
- Mees says that the outcome of the student council elections will be made known by tomorrow.

17. Ending
Pim closes the meeting at 16:40h.

Decisions
180523-01	The CSR decides to consent to the Privacy policy and processing of personal data.

Action list
180523-01	Michele writes a reaction letter on the Privacy policy and processing of personal data, including a note on the model *verwerkersovereenkomst* and the followed procedure.
180523-02	Michele informs Mariska Herweijer about the consent of the CSR on the Privacy policy and to discuss the procedure for setting these policy and regulations.
180523-03	Loraine writes a concept letter on the request for advise on installing two *numeri fixi* at the FEB for an editorial round until May 28th 13.00h.
180523-04	Pim calls Folia to ask them to remove the CSR from the list of parties supporting the catering petition.

180516-01	Michele writes a reaction letter on the Privacy Policy and camera surveillance regulations and put this up for an editorial round from Friday to Sunday. The letter will be sent out on May 21st.
180516-02	Michele informs the study associations, FSR's, and OC's about *WOinActie*.
180516-03	Michele works out the proposal on sustainability and asks the FSR's for input.
180509-02	Michele asks the FSR-FMG to remove the addition of switching to Volksbank.
180509-04	Pim incorporates the points of the study associations and internationalization in the Studentenstatuut **and forwards these to Miek Krol**.
180509-05	Pim asks Erik Boels for an overview of the general costs of international students, to get more insight into the rationale of money division.
180502-05	Ken tries to gather more information about the rationale behind the division of university spending.
180418-02	Guido asks the FSR's for their input on sharing video lectures within a course and between programs.
180418-04	Taskforce Education & Accessibility take up the rearrangement of holidays in the second semester, in relation to Mental Health.
180418-11	Pim asks ISO during the next AV to give an explanation of their statement about the national labour market.
180411-03	Taskforce **F&F** PR considers the proposal to name the new bridge at REC.
180411-11	Guido writes a follow-up meeting piece to discuss the proposals from the file holder meeting on Blended Learning.
180328-05	Taskforce Democratization & Policy writes a memo on the ideal structure of the CSR and afterwards discusses this with the FSR's.
180314-04	All council members compose an overview before April 23rd of the hours they spend from March 19th until April 15th on council work, studying, their job, and other obligations. An indication of the stress level (1-5) will be added. Quinta brings the overview to PV180509.

Pro memorie

140908-04	The DB is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
140908-05	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Taskforce heads have the final responsibility in this.
141208-04	The taskforce heads notify the PR-taskforce after their meetings which files should be raised in the media.
150420-01	All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20h and write their updates linked to all specific files of the taskforces. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 12h.
160502-01	Pim, Teo and Sebastian take good care of the plants.
161017-04	The taskforce heads make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their taskforces about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.
161017-05	The taskforce heads oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
161031-01	Bram and Guido organize fun activities for the council on regular basis.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
180207-01	Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.
180319-01	The DB makes sure that the CSR 18-19 gets informed properly about the request for advice on *schakeltrajecten* that can be expected in September 2018.