



Minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on the 1st of November 2017

Council members	Guido Bakker, Sasha Borovitskaja (from 15:03), Jern Ken Chew (from 15:03), Raj Deval, Pim van Helvoirt, Bram Jaarsma (from 15:03), Michele Murgia, Kjeld Oostra (until 17:00), Sebastian Proos, Mees van Rees, Loraine Smith (from 15:03), Teodor Todercan;
Council assistants	Quinta Dijk, David Nelck;
Absent	-
Guest(s)	Kathelijnn Verdeyen <i>Voorzitter FSR-FdG</i> (from 15:45)
Minutes	Tamara van den Berg <i>Ambtelijk secretaris</i>

Concept agenda

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Approving the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, representatives, AMC, Studentassessor-CvB
7. Setting the agenda
8. Regeling Flexstuderen
9. Redistribution of the bestuursbeurs
10. D&D: refund of campaign money
11. Study places
12. Statement: Housing at REC-A
13. UCO
14. ISO
15. Regeling Selectie en Plaatsing Fixusopleidingen
16. Institutional Tuition Fees
17. Medezeggenschap positions for international students
18. Student Services
19. Regeling Studiekeuzeadvies
20. UB night
21. LOVUM
22. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
23. Questions
24. Sluiting

1. Opening

Pim opens the meeting at 15h00 and welcomes everyone.

2. Mail

The in- and outgoing mail is discussed.

- The request of ISO on the municipal elections is already being taken up by ASVA, and will therefore not be taken up by the CSR.

5 -- *Sasha Borovitskaja, Jern Ken Chew, Loraine Smith, and Bram Jaarsma enter the meeting --*

- The survey that was filled in by CSR16-17 for the *D&D in Progress* event can be published.

3. Concept minutes minutes + action list

Setting the minutes of the October 25th will be postponed until next PV.

4. Checking the action list

The action list gets updated.

5. Announcements

- Kjeld needs to leave the PV early, to attend the year plan presentation of the FSR FNWI today.
- 10 • Linda is not attending the PV today.
- Pim welcomes the new council assistants, Quinta Dijk and David Nelck.
- Pim asks the council to be constructive with feedback in the editorial rounds for letters.
- The CvB will hold a *retrait* to discuss the (de-)central *medezeggenschap*.
- ISO on tour will be attended by Mees. ISO in Brussels will be attended by Guido.
- 15 • Pim addresses the Folia article on PhD-students. Mees says this will be addressed in the UCO in relation to the *Zorgplan*. Michele says that in case the CSR decides to take up this file, this should be done in collaboration with the COR. Ken has also discussed this issue with the promovendi council.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, representatives, AMC, Studentassessor-CvB

The written updates discussed briefly.

- Michele has not written an update as the FSR FGw has not had a PV yet since the last update.
- 20 • Bram asks Kjeld about the position of the faculty assessor. Kjeld elaborates on the internal discussion.
- Mees asks Kjeld about the ILO soundboard group. Kjeld explains that no plan for the operation of the soundboard group has been set up so far. Kjeld, Loraine and Mees will take this up after the PV. **(action)**
- 25 • Bram asks Loraine about the budget of the FSR FMG. This will be addressed in the file holder meeting on November 2nd.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set with changes.

D&D: refund of campaign money will be discussed next week.



8. Regeling Flexstuden

30 *The CSR decides on the letter of advice on the Regeling experiment Flexstuden.*

Mees and Guido ask for a recap on the decision of the CSR to write a positive advice on the regulations, and Michele and Pim explain how this came about.

35 A couple of textual adjustments to the concept letter are proposed: the salutation, the signature, and the reference to 'de raad'.

Voting proposal: The CSR sends a positive advice on the Regeling experiment Flexstuden, taking into account the proposed changes from PV171101.

In favor 11

40 *Against 0*

Blanco 1

Abstain 0

The voting proposal is adopted.

9. Redistributie van de bestuursbeur

The CSR decides on the internal redistributie van de bestuursbeur.

45

Proposed factors for the distributie: Sebastian asks a clarification on the proposal. Bram explains that the methodology had been voted in earlier. Sasha says that the factor would still need to be voted in.

Guido asks whether the numbers add up, and Bram says the numbers have been rounded.

50 Sebastian is not in favor of the proposal, and suggests that DB-members would redistribute a smaller part of their *bestuursbeur*. Pim says that deciding on the financial situations of individuals can be very problematic. Michele says that there is no situation in which everyone would be satisfied. However, representatives and general members are taking up extra work, which should be compensated for. Bram says that personal circumstances cannot be taken into account, as only the workload can be discussed. Guido stresses that the discussion focused on the division of workload to propose the middle ground. Pim says no objective measurement can be given on the working hours, but the factors can be decided on.

55

Practical execution of the distributie: Michele asks whether the redistributie will be done automatically. Bram explains that the proposal would be to do this, by asking Sebastian to take up the responsibility on this.

60

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to adopt to proposal on the re-allotment of the *bestuursbeur*, as presented during the PV171101.

In favor 7

Against 2

65 *Blanco 2*

Abstain 1

The voting proposal is adopted.

Bram will work out the practicalities of the arrangement on the transfers and the monthly amount.

70 **(action)** Guido is not in favor of starting the re-allotment only from the upcoming period onwards.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to apply the proposal of the re-allotment to the bestuursbeurs retrospectively.

In favor 1

75 *Against* 7

Blanco 0

Abstain 4

The voting proposal is not adopted.

80 Sasha says that council members that will face financial issues due to the re-allotment should address this openly, or can address these to Sasha or Tamara. In that case possible solutions can be discussed. Bram says it would have been very important that everyone is satisfied with the proposal.

-- Kathelijn Verdeyen enters the meeting --

10. Study places

85 *The CSR decides on the festive opening of the new Studielandschappen at REC.*

Mees says attendance to the festive opening does not imply no criticism could be given later on, but Teo and Bram do not agree with this. Guido says this is merely symbolic, and criticism can still be given. Raj says attending the event might legitimize the current way of working, and is therefore not in favor of attending. Kathelijn, Kjeld, Quinta, David and Ken don't believe it will make a difference. Guido

90 agrees. Mees, Michele, Sasha, Teo, Bram and Loraine agree with Raj. Sebastian says that the CSR always has a right to complain.

The CSR decides to not attend the festive opening of the *Studielandschappen* at REC-A on November 8th, as this falls during the time of the PV. Teo will inform Marloes van Wagtendonk that the CSR cannot attend. **(action)**

11. Statement: Housing at REC-A

95 *The CSR discusses its statement on the housing situation at REC-A.*

Michele compliments Bram on the concept statement. Mees asks whether the statement is too hard on the working group. Bram believes the statement is balanced, as the matters that are being worked on and/or solved are not addressed in the statement.

Changes in Alinea 1: Michele disagrees with '*gematigd negatief*', and Sebastian is against '*gematigd*'.

100 Changes in Alinea 2: Guido disagrees stating that the tent was a reasonable solution, as it was merely a sufficient solution, but many aspects were still problematic.

Changes in Alinea 5: Michele suggests to give examples when addressing the communication about the finishing.

105 Bram will send the statement for an editorial round over the weekend, so the statement can be sent out on Monday November 6th. **(action)**

12. UCO

The CSR prepares the UCO meeting of November 2nd.

1) **New cabinet agreements Rutte III**

Mees says this agenda point would mostly show the reactions of the UvA to the *regeerakkoord*.

Michele asks what the 'open access' scientific research would refer to exactly. Mees does not know

110 on which scale this would be. Bram asks whether the *Instellingsplan* and the *Mastersselectie* will be addressed; this can be taken up in another meeting.

Questions for UCO: Mees suggests to address the national plan on internationalization. Pim suggests to mention *macrodoelmatig onderwijs*, the *middelen wet studievoorschot*, and the *kwaliteitsafspraken*. Pim wants to ask for an adequate motivation on the installment of *numeri fixi*,
115 and would like to discuss the different stances on selection, and on open access. Also the link to research investments in the financing of education will be addressed, as will the (strict) control on Anglicization. Ken suggests to address housing issues in relation to internationalization.

2) **CANVAS**

Pim explains that the shell policy is based on a program, faculty and central level.

120 Michele suggests to address the link between CANVAS and Learning Analytics. Pim suggests to address the program committees in the DLO. Mees will also discuss this with the VU. Michele asks whether Privacy is included in the advice of the CSR, and whether the *functionaris persoonsgegevens* is involved. Bram proposes to address this with JZ.

a) Course import tool and migration: Mees explains that teachers would be allowed to create their
125 own first course, which would help for the smooth transition, but would take a lot of time and might cause problems in the short term. The (de-)central application of this tool could also be addressed. Mees proposes to set up a safety net for the start-up period. Michele says the same procedure as with Blackboard will be applicable, i.e. having a coordinator who has the final responsibility on the execution. Guido does not foresee problems, since a training will be
130 provided. Michele says that multiple teachers should be allowed to create a course together.

b) Terms and moment of publicizing the course: Mees explains that 'opening' the online access to a course currently falls under the teachers' responsibility. A time limit can be proposed to give students sufficient time to prepare. Pim says it would be preferable to have course materials accessible prior to the start of the course. Bram says this does not add to workload, as it is merely setting a deadline. Ken questions why this is an issue, as the content of the course should be prepared prior to the course. Michele asks why the courses are ended in a specific time, and Pim explains this is because of the archiving system. The content will still be accessible.

c) Course structures: Mees explains how a blueprint can enhance a uniform using experience. Quinta asks who makes the blueprint, and Mees says this might be StS. Pim asks whether it would be better to arrange this per faculty. Mees shows an example of the set up. The council agrees on centralizing the dashboard, while asking how this is set up and which input can be given. Michele asks about the template, and this will be addressed in the UCO.

Michele says that the FSR FGw is against setting a profile picture in the CANVAS system, but the CSR does not agree with this.

145 3) **Evaluation UvA Matching**

The documents on UvA Matching have been sent last night and therefore are not prepared at length. The CSR will convey the opinion that the given time slot is not reasonable, as the CSR cannot form an opinion on the document on such short notice. The content will therefore be discussed in preparation for the next PV.

150 4) **Safety net while entering the master phase**

Bram asks about the content of the VSNU agreement. Mees says that on the national level, many BA-students did not find matching MA-programs. Therefore, the UvA is setting up a safety net for which criteria have been formulated. Bram explains that due on the Bologna agreement a race-to-the-bottom has happened, as no university wanted to take in all the students that are not accepted
155 for selective masters. Bram asks whether a new agreement on masters selection should be made.

Mees says in the UCO an implication statement on this can be made. Bram proposes to ask the CvB to address this in the VSNU. Loraine is the file holder of master selection, so input on master selection can be addressed to her.

- 160 a) Listed criteria: Mees is in favor of criteria, but suggests to only make it applicable to students who did not get accepted into a program that fits to their prior education. Mees says the safety net is not explained properly, as it is just addressed how to make an appeal to this.
- b) Expected problems when introducing safety net: It can be addressed to the VSNU that having master selection and the fact that the UvA has a safety net, could lead to being (more and more) considered 'the drain' of the universities.
- 165 c) Guiding of the implementation: Pim does not trust the individual courses and proposes to guide this per group, under the guidance of *onderwijsdirecteuren* (graduate school directors).

5) Zorgplan for students and PhD's

Bram explains that no funds are being made available for the upcoming 5 years. Ken explains that during this, the financing has been taken up in the private budget. It could be integrated in the budget when the pilot is successful and insight in the exact costs have been gained. Michele asks whether the mental health of employees is being addressed, and Pim explains that this is being worked on by a working group that is under the responsibility of Han van Dissel. Ken asks what is being done on the alignment between study advisors and *studentendecanen*. Sasha explains that the this communication will be addressed in the *Zorgplan*. Ken adds that study advisors also focus on *Studiesucces*, as it is linked to students' problems, while not being addressed in the *Zorgplan*. Michele asks whether the issues raised by the CSR can be integrated in the *Zorgplan* (i.e. facilities for student psychologists). Sasha says that online interventions will also be organized to minimize the plans. Ken adds that an updated *Zorgplan* can be expected by the end of the year.

170

175

13. ISO

The CSR discusses its general position in the ISO.

180 Opinions about the ISO beta letter: Pim says the topics addressed in the letter are broad and should (or even: do) apply to all broad universities and/or faculties. However, only the *beta* universities have been addressed. ASVA is writing a counter-letter from the broad universities, and the CSR is in favor of this. Pim will take this up with ASVA, and forward the letter to the CSR before signing it as a CSR. **(action)**

185 Opinions about ISO in general: Michele suggests to leave, on case ISO does not become more democratic. Bram asks whether something should be done first. Teo asks whether it makes sense to leave. Mees says that despite the problems that are now on the table, ISO can, as a lobby organization, be used to gain influence or address certain issues. Nonetheless, Mees is in favor of the initiative of the ASVA letter. Michele suggests to send ISO a letter from the CSR on the preferred changes in the organization. Pim explains the reorganization has been going on for a couple of years, but without completion so far. Bram says that not all organizations of ISO might see the problems, which does not compromise their democratic legitimacy. Pim will write a meeting document to discuss ISO further next PV, and the proposal to write a letter will be included in this. **(action)**

190

Mandate during ISO meetings: From now onwards, Pim will forward the meeting pieces of the ISO to the CSR so they can be discussed with the council and Pim can be given a mandate.

195

-- Kjeld Oostra leaves the meeting --



14. Regeling Selectie en Plaatsing Fixusopleidingen

The CSR gets informed about the advice request on the Regeling Selectie en Plaatsing.

200 *Onderwijsinstellingen* are responsible for the set up and execution of the process of *decentrale selectie* and placement. Certain adjustments to the regulations have been proposed by the CvB to correct the discrepancies with the law.

Mention of academic year in question: *No objections.*

205 Selection criteria drawn up by the dean and accepted by the CvB: Michele asks whether the change is only applying to the procedure or whether the CvB is also concerned with judgement of the regulations in general, while taking the whole of the university into account. Loraine will inform on this with Mariska. **(action)** Bram says the WHW requires that the CvB sets the selection criteria, which was not followed last year the AMC.

210 **Voting proposal**: The CSR decides to advise positively on the *Regeling Selectie & Plaatsing*.

In favor 12

Against 0

Blanco 0

Abstain 0

215 *The voting proposal is adopted.*

Loraine drafts a positive advice letter for the upcoming PV. **(action)**

15. Institutional Tuition Fees

The CSR gets informed about the Instellingscollegegeld.

220 Mees asks whether the numbers of the tuition fees are unclear, and Bram says this is the case in all universities. There is little transparency in this regard.

225 Sebastian says the CSR should aim to have accessible and affordable education, and the CSR should always aim to increase this when possible. Sasha agrees. Ken says the increase of accessibility could be interesting for his files. Quinta is not sure whether the accessibility will be increased when lowering the tuition fee. Mees sees difficulties, and so does Guido. Loraine en Raj agree with Sebastian. Pim asks where the concern for transparency is coming from, and proposes to make an average tuition fee for all faculties by contributing all in an equal way. Pim says that providing exceptions to the tuition fee might be positive. Bram says this adds to the accessibility of a second educational program for UvA-students.

230 The discussion on accessibility and information will be addressed further next week. Bram asks how the CSR feels about the distinction between EEA and non-EEA students, as this is not done at all universities. Pim says that ASVA has sent out a WOB on the institutional fees, and Bram will further inquire the costs and reasoning behind the distinction. **(action)**

The taskforce will decide who can take place in the working group on institutional tuition fees. **(action)**

16. Medezeggenschap positions for international students

The CSR gets informed about the conditions for a board year.

235 The council is in favor to continue working on the medezeggenschap for international students. Raj will take up this file, and will discuss this with Bram, Kjeld and Ken. **(action)**

17. Student Services

The CSR gets informed about the restructuring plans for Student Services.

Ken explains that the CSR is not included in the working group on the restructuring of StS. It is not certain whether the input of the CSR would be included, and if it would come timely for the finalization of the plan. There are many things unclear about the new structure of StS, for example by making the distinction between EEA and non-EEA students. Moreover, there is no financial report available. The financial implications of focusing more on international students are not known. Ken will request more information from the CvB, and will ask whether the CSR can be included in the restructuring of StS.

(action) The CSR will write a formal letter on the restructuring of StS, and Pim will help Ken to set this up. **(action)**

18. Regeling Studiekeuzeadvies

The CSR gets informed about the advice request on the Regeling Studiekeuzeadvies.

Michele asks to whom students can convey the arguments and/or objections about the distance. Ken says this would be directly towards the programs. Michele asks which function is responsible for dealing with these issue, and whether a body exists that determines when the request is reasonable.

Michele proposes to advice that the distance should be kept in mind by the organ that judges this distance, as the distance is arbitrary in any sense. The regulation is now used for a different purpose, to deal with the applications coming in. Bram suggests to investigate other options to deal with the *no show* of UvA-matching. Pim explains that the proposed change in regulation is caused by the giving up the *ministerplaatsen*. Ken says the distance should first be addressed before. Ken will investigate who is responsible, and whether it is being implemented to prevent no-shows. **(action)** Sasha will help Ken and Michele to write the advice letter in Dutch. **(action)**

General: At the *Informeel Overleg* it has been discussed with the CvB that the terms for request of advice and/or consent should be aligned with the English translation that is made available. This would allow the CSR to give their advice timely and in a proper way.

19. UB night

The CSR gets informed about the organization of the UB night.

Tamara asks what the reserved budget for the UB night is. Ken asks whether extra costs are being made if the UB is open outside regular opening hours. Sebastian explains that this indeed causes costs for the university, while not being visited by many people during the night.

Alternative proposals can be discussed in the taskforce PR, while also taking into account alternatives for the reserved budget. **(action)** Ken proposes to have longer opening hours during exam periods, instead of an exam week. This is already being done. Council members can send suggestions on the organization of the UB night to the PR taskforce. **(action)**

20. LOVUM

This is postponed until next week.

21. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business

Housing & internationalization: Bram says a file holder meeting is being organized. Loraine and Ken will be included in this. Michele says there has been confusion regarding the proposal, and all councils should be informed properly on the proposal which can be discussed in a file holder meeting.



Communication to FSR's: Sasha asks on which topics discussed in the PV input from the FSR's is needed: *Medezeggenschap for international students, UB night*. This point will be added to the format of the PV-agenda. **(action)**

275

Studentassessor-CvB: The CvB has allowed the CSR to have 2 members in the BAC. Bram is not content with the promotion materials for the vacancy. Pim will address to the CvB that this is an issue. **(action)**

Voorinvesteringen letter: Taskforce Finance & Collaboration will take this up. **(action)**

22. Questions

- Guido asks whether it is possible to re-open the discussion on voting rights for the council assistants. Guido will write a meeting piece on this. **(action)**
- Sasha asks if council members can inform her, and not Pim, on agenda points for the PV.
- Sebastian says that the PR taskforce has been requested volunteering for a Christmas initiative for the elderly. This will not be taken up by the CSR, and the PR taskforce will inform the organizers. **(action)**
- Pim says that the CSR has received a request from Machiavelli to help forming a recommendation committee to organize a congress on *Bestuurskunde*. This will be discussed next week, and Pim writes a meeting piece for this. **(action)**

280

285

23. Ending

Pim closes the meeting at 18h03 .

Decisions

- 171101-01** The CSR sends a positive advice on the *Regeling experiment Flexstuden*, taking into account the proposed changes from PV171101.
- 171101-02** The CSR decides to adopt to proposal on the re-allotment of the bestuursbeurs, as presented during the PV171101.
- 171101-03** The CSR decides to advice positively on the *Regeling Selectie & Plaatsing*.

290

Action list

- 171101-01** All council members archive their documents in the P-drive.
- 171101-02** Kjeld, Loraine and Mees discuss the plan for setting up the ILO soundboard group.
- 171101-03** Teo informs Marloes van Wagtendonk that the CSR cannot attend the festive opening of the study places at REC-A due to the PV.
- 171101-04** Bram sends the statement on the housing situation at REC-A for an editorial round over the weekend, so the statement can be sent out on Monday November 6th
- 171101-05** Pim takes up the letter on ISO and the beta universities with ASVA, and forwards the letter to the CSR before signing it.
- 171101-06** Pim writes a meeting document to discuss ISO, and the proposal to write a letter as a CSR will be included in this.
- 171101-07** Loraine will contact Mariska to get more information in the controlling role of the CvB in the application of the fixus selection criteria.
- 171101-08** Loraine drafts a positive advice letter on the *Regeling Selectie en Plaatsing*.
- 171101-09** Bram will further inquire the costs of the institutional tuition fee, and the reasoning behind the distinction between (non-) EEA-students.
- 171101-10** The taskforce Finance & Collaboration discusses who can take place in the working group on institutional tuition fees.

295

300

305

310



- 171101-11 Raj discusses the file on medezeggenschap for international students with Bram, Kjeld and Ken.
- 171101-12 Ken requests more information on the financial implications for StS of the distinction between (non-) EEA-students from the CvB, and will ask whether the CSR can be included in the restructuring of StS.
- 315 171101-13 Ken writes a formal letter on the restructuring of StS, and Pim will help him to do this.
- 171101-14 Ken investigates who is responsible for determining and judging the regulations on distance in regard to UvA-matching, and will check whether it is being implemented to prevent no-shows.
- 320 171101-15 Sasha helps Ken and Michele to write the advice letter on the *Regeling Studiekeuzeadvies* in Dutch.
- 171101-16 The taskforce PR discusses different proposals for the UB night, while also taking into account alternatives for the reserved budget.
- 171101-17 Council members send their suggestions on the organization of the UB night to the PR taskforce.
- 325 171101-18 Tamara adds the agenda point 'input from FSR's' to the format of the PV-agenda.
- 171101-19 Pim will inform the CvB that the CSR has issues with the materials used to promote the vacancy for the Studentassessor-CvB 2018.
- 171101-20 Taskforce Finance & Collaboration will discuss the letter on *Voorinvesteringen*.
- 330 171101-21 Guido writes a meeting piece on the voting rights of the council assistants.
- 171101-22 The taskforce PR informs the organizers of the Christmas volunteering event that the CSR will not participate.
- 171101-23 Pim writes a meeting piece to discuss the request of Machiavelli to form a recommendation committee for the organization of a congress on *Bestuurskunde*.
- 335 171025-01 All taskforces discuss the year planning and planning for the upcoming OV-cycle.
- ~~171025-02 All council members check the GV Regulations and HR and inform Pim whether they want to propose any changes.~~
- ~~171025-03 Council members give editorial feedback on the letter on Lunch with the Rector before Monday.~~
- 340 171025-04 Pim asks Bram whether contact has been made with AMC and ACTA regarding the problems with housing and internationalization policy. **Pim mentions these issues at the PV of ACTA.**
- ~~171025-05 The taskforce PR will inform the VSNU that the negotiations have ended, communicates to ReThink that the CSR supports their action.~~
- 345 ~~171025-06 The PR taskforce and Pim write a public statement on the negotiations with the VSNU, and will make this available for an editorial round. The statement will be send out on October 30th.~~
- ~~171025-07 Michele writes a positive concept advice on the *Regeling Experiment Flexstuden 2018-2019*, which will be brought to the PV on November 1st.~~
- 350 ~~171025-08 Pim drafts a concept letter to ask for (confidential) insight in the CBO-minutes.~~
- ~~171025-09 Pim writes a concept letter on the composition of the BAC Studentassessor-CvB that will be made available for an editorial until Monday. Pim will also inform Mariska that the CvB can expect a letter on this matter.~~
- ~~171025-10 Pim informs the CvB that the FSR's are facing problems with their faculty budgets, which might have negative impact on the process for the GV.~~
- 355 171018-01 Council members send content for the WC-krant and FB-page to the PR taskforce **before Friday November 3rd 15h00. Teo writes a piece on the Catering.**
- ~~171018-02 The taskforce heads agendize 'the media' for every taskforce meeting. → pro memorie~~



- 360 **171018-05** Sebastian will write a meeting piece on the refund **for November 8th**. ~~Sebastian will contact D&D in progress to ask them for a plan before the CSR decides on whether to ask for a refund of the money or not.~~
- 171018-06** Facilities and Housing will organize a meeting **on Catering** for the file holders, where they will discuss the possibility of setting out surveys per faculty.
- 365 ~~**171018-08** Council members can send their input regarding the informative video and infographic to the PR taskforce. The PR taskforce will draft a plan.~~
- 171018-09** Bram, Sasha, and Pim look into the previous discussions and proposals that could be included in the revision of the CSR regulations.
- 171018-12** Taskforce Democratization & Policy discusses the role of Onderwijsdirecteuren in the medezeggenschap.
- 370 **171018-13** Kjeld **or Pim** sends the results of the FSR FNWI survey on catering to the taskforce Facilities & Housing.
- 171011-05** Kjeld will further investigate the possibilities of a tuition fee free board year, and will present this to the PV.
- 171011-08** Pim announces at the GV that all GV-members have the opportunity to put topics on the GV-agenda.
- 375 **171011-11** Taskforce Education & Vision researchers the amount of study weeks at the UvA in comparison to other Dutch universities.
- 171011-13** The representatives ask their FSR's to discuss the relation between internationalization and student housing problems, and the proposal to write an unsolicited advice together with the medezeggenschap in Amsterdam.
- 380 **171011-14** If the FSR's give positive feedback on the proposal to write an unsolicited advice on the housing situation, Bram will contact the USR VU and CMR.
- 171004-07** Teo prepares a statement regarding the language in the GV and Pim or Sasha assists him with this.
- 385 ~~**170927-09** Bram, Mees, Guido, Teo and Ken will work out a concrete proposal for the factors based on which the re-allocation of the bestuursbeurs can be done. This will be brought to the PV on November 1st.~~
- 170913-06** All council members research which topics linked to the ITK they are dealing with in their files and/or faculties, and inform Sasha about this.

Pro memorie

- 390 **140908-04** The DB is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 140908-04** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Taskforce heads have the final responsibility in this.
- 141208-04** The taskforce heads notify the PR-taskforce after their meetings which files should be raised in the media.
- 395 **150420-01** All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20h. **and write their updates linked to all specific files of the taskforces.**
- 150907-02** Sasha sends a weekly Monday mail with all the activities of the upcoming week.
- 151019-03** Sasha notifies the FSR's after the PV on which topics the CSR needs input.
- 160502-01** Pim and Sebastian take good care of the plants.
- 400 **161017-04** The taskforce heads make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their taskforces about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive.
- 161017-05** The taskforce heads oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
- 161031-01** Bram and Sasha organize fun activities for the council on regular basis.
- 405 **170201-04** The council oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

- 170823-01** The AMC letter regarding the allocation model will be taken into account during the upcoming discussion on the new allocation model.
- 170823-06** Michele will make a plan to involve the FSR's in setting up the BSA-evaluation, and informs the FSR's about the position of the deans in this.
- 171018-02** The taskforce heads agendaize 'the media' for every taskforce meeting.

410