



FSR-meeting

Date 03-03-2016

Location E1.26

17:00-19:00

Minutes

Presents: Tijmen de Vos, Mourad Farahat, Mick Fruytier, Victor Oprea, Rinus van Grunsven, Mink Perrée, Swiss Jurriens, Ioana Nicolau

Absents: Samantha van den Hoek, Nickardo Postma

Secretary: Yirong Lo

1. Determine agenda

17.04

1. Opening and determine agenda	6. Campaign Financing
2. Minutes of previous meeting	7. Questions and Closure
3. Announcements	
4. Update committees & CSR-representative	
5. Selective Masters	Remarks

2. Minutes previous meeting

17.05

Minutes of 25-02-2016 are approved.

3. Announcements

17.06

- Samantha and Nickardo are absent with notice.
- Mink is leading the meeting.

4. Update committees & CSR-representative

Victor (chair E&R):

-OER: Mick had a meeting with Peter van Baalen today. The advice letter must be send out next Tuesday 8 March. That means that the FSR has to vote this weekend. Mink will send out an e-mail vote on the OER (160303-01).

Rinus (chair O&M):

- Ioana and Tijmen are in contact with Atma (a first years student) who is willing to take pictures for 'Humans of the FEB'. In this way we hope to improve the FEB-community feeling.
- We discussed the campaign financing for which we have to give an advice today. We will discuss it further during the PV.
- Nickardo is making a schedule for the flyers (which Tijmen will print this week).
- Tijmen had a meeting with several people for the 'sustainability file'. They brainstormed a bit about how to improve the sustainability at the UvA. Their next meeting will take place in two weeks so if you have any recommendations, please let Tijmen know so he can discuss it during that meeting.
- Swiss and Nickardo are busy in arranging a meeting with Anne Marie van Sandvoordten.

Mink (CSR-representative):

- Allocation model: We are busy making a plan for the kick-off of the allocation model discussion.
- Budget sub-commission diversity: We are not making a problem out of the budget, although we think some people are too expensive. Since it took long for the commission to get started we did not want to waste more time by not accepting the budget.

Student council elections: There is quiet some commotion about the 'kieswijzer', a questionnaire which gives you an indication on what party you should vote. There are a couple of options; 1.Kieswijzer for the CSR and FSR's. The problem with this is that some parties say: the differences are too small between parties on our faculty and also that some say that they cannot profile themselves well enough if there are no faculty orientated questions. Option 2: Only for the CSR; faculty parties say: this is not fair because Partij Mei, UvA Sociaal and De Vrije Student will have an advantage since they are competing for the CSR and FSR and therefore will have an unfair advantage. Option 3: No kieswijzer: problem is that the kieswijzer will probably do very well for attracting people to vote (At the VU, 11% more people voted when they introduced the kieswijzer). We are now working with someone from the Decentralen who worked at an organization that creates





'kieswijzers' and therefore has a lot of expertise. He will try to take away the worries of the faculty parties.

- Pre-investments: We agreed upon the plans of the CvB to divide the money among an equal ratio based on student numbers. What the faculties will spend their money on, that should be discussed by the FSR's and not by the CSR.

- Flexstudying: I had to leave early so if you want to know more about it, I suggest you read the minutes from the CSR PV.

5. Selective Masters

17.18

On February 11 2016, there was a meeting with ISO and other student representatives at the VSNU about the abolition of the 'doorstroommasters'. In addition, two weeks ago the participation event took place. It showed that a couple of FSR's think that it might be a good idea if the CSR also write an advice on selective masters at a central level.

Discussion points:

- *Is it desirable to have the CSR writing an advice on Selective Masters?*

The FSR discussed whether the selectivity of masters is a problem on a central level. The majority of the council agrees that this is the case. Moreover, the FSR believes that it would not matter for the FSRs since they can still formulate their own opinions and the CSR would need to take that into account. Therefore, having the input of the CSR would perhaps strengthen the involvement of the FSR's as a whole. **The FSR voted in favour of the CSR providing advice on the selectivity of masters.**

- *If so, on what points would the FSR like the CSR to give their advice on?*

This can be discussed more in depth in the next meeting because Samantha is the main file-holder.

Mourad mentions that he would like to attend the file-holders meeting.

6. Campaign Financing

17.29

The CSR examined the Regulations for the Campaign Financing, which is a total amount of € 12 500,- available for parties as subsidy for their campaign provided by the UvA. The CSR established a guideline for the distribution of this money. The CSR has € 3750,- to divide, and each FSR will have € 1250,- (making a total of € 12 500,-).

In the Regulations of Campaign Financing it is explained how the CSR distributes the money. Each FSR can choose to accept the proposed distribution or make their own proposal.

The proposal by the CSR is as follows:

- Distribute 80% of the budget equally to the participating parties. And distribute an additional 20% based on the number of council seats won as a reward.
- Parties might apply for the budget in advance because they are unable to upfront this amount themselves. The upfront budget shall be equal to the fixed fund of 80%.
- For FSR's this means: There is a fixed fund of €1000,- which is divided equally between the parties. Then, the remaining funding of € 250,- would be divided over how many seats a party has obtained in the council this year. The amount is divided by the number of council seats of the faculty times the number of directly elected councilmembers in 2016 by a party in the FSR.

Discussion points:

- *Does the FSR agree with the proposal?*

The FSR discussed whether they think this is the most fair way to compensate the parties. The FSR likes the main idea of a fixed fund and a variable fund, but thinks that minor changes can be made to improve the campaign financing. **The FSR voted in favour of deviating from the proposal.**

- *How would the FSR like to deviate?*

The FSR proposed to have 60% of fixed fund and 40% of variable fund. And that the variable fund should be divided by the number of filled council seats that a party has achieved this year. The reason for this is because the FSR has experienced elected members from parties who did not fulfil their seats in the end. Currently the FSR has 3 council assistants who did not run for elections in a party. Therefore the FSR does not think that this fund should be based on the amount of elected seats, but on filled seats instead.

The FSR voted in favour of:

- **A fixed fund of € 750 equally divided between the participating parties.**
- **A variable fund of the remaining €500 divided over the amount of filled seats that a party has obtained in the council this year. This means: 3/7 to List Sefa, 3/7 to Partei Mei, and 1/7 to UvAsociaal.**





Another point mentioned is the fairness for new parties because they would get none of the variable fund. The FSR thinks that an improvement for next year could be that new parties should get some sort of proper compensation.

7. Questions and Closure

Rinus asks the council for Toilet Paper input. Elections, Lunch with the dean, and course evaluations are mentioned.

Remarks:

Mink: The whole council is responsible for paying attention when someone is absent for a period due to illness.

Tijmen: A datumprikker will be send out soon for the next Think Tank (please come if you say you are coming). Swiss proposed a possible Think Tank topic: Blended learning (blackboard alternatives). And also, we should have a little drink with the candidates running for elections.

Victor: Coffee and cleaning schedule. Rinus will buy coffee.

Closing 18.10



Faculty
Student Council

ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS

Roetersstraat 11
1018 WB Amsterdam
(020) 525 4384
fsr.feb@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/feb

ACTIONPOINTS

151120-04 Rinus make sure to evaluate the promotion after one week and think of the next steps to take.
160303-01 Mink send out an e-mail vote on the OER. Advice letter deadline is upcoming Tuesday.

Pro-memory

151009-02 Respond to questions on Whatsapp.

151113-01 Actively invite students for the course evaluations (& Mink inform the council on time when the evaluations are).

151120-01 The council works according to the working agreements.

151211-01 During events you are representing the FSR, so no looking on your phones and act excited!!

160121-01 Every other week on Tuesdays the council is having Coffee Time from 12:00-14:00.

160218-01 Updates should be more elaborate.

