



FSR-meeting

Date 03-09-2015

Location B3.02

17.00-18.15

Minutes

Presents: Samantha van den Hoek, Nickardo Postma, Rinus van Grunsven, Victor Oprea, Mink Perrée, Mick Fruytier and Tijmen de Vos.

Secretary: Renée Bijvoets

1. Minutes previous meeting 17.04

Minutes of 19-6-2015 are agreed upon.

2. Announcements 17.06

Samantha: We will try to finish at 18.15. Victor will be late and Maxim will not be part of the council anymore due to personal reasons.

3. Determine agenda 17.07

1. Minutes previous meeting	6. MO-FEB
2. Announcements	7. File division
3. Determine agenda	8. Allocation model
4. Update fileholders and CSR-representative	
5. Work agreements	

4. Update fileholders and CSR-representative 17.08

CSR Representative:

Mink: Since Monday I am working for the CSR. This week we discussed the following things. One of them is the allocation model. We will discuss this next week and then we will also decide upon it. The allocation model is also discussed further in the FSR PV. We also discussed the "studenten statuut", and we discussed which points where "breaking points" for us. The right for students with a handicap, namely that they will only have to register that once and not every year again. Hopefully the board will agree with this. Another rule is that if you are late with register for your exam that you have to pay 20 euros. Samantha: And what about the "inzagen recht". Mink: We want to place it in the OER. Furthermore, this week there was a poem of the CSR and the CVB did not agree with the poem being heard during the opening of the academic year. Because this came all in the news we are now organising brainstorm meetings to think of the way the CSR will work with the CVB the coming year. Lastly, there was a meeting with the supervisory board about the selection of deans (and CVB members), but the requests of the CSR are not being implemented. The CSR now wants to send an open letter and we are waiting for the reaction. Samantha explains that this was discussed with the CSR and the COR of the university and the HvA last year, and everyone agreed, however now they are using a different procedure.

5. Work agreements 17.13

The FSR goes over the working agreements of the CSR 2014-2015 in order to establish the working agreements for the FSR FEB 2015 – 2016.

General: Everyone agrees with the statements.

Too late: Everyone agrees with the statements.

"Corvee": Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:



- A schedule will be made for cleaning up the room. However, when someone is too late for a meeting this person will be appointed to clean up the room that week. After that the schedule will be followed again.
- The FSR will try to separate waste as much as possible. However, it needs to be looked into how this can be done in the FSR room.

Communication: Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:

- "FSR-members mailing with CSR-members will put the CSR-representative in the CC".

Language: adjustments:

- The spoken language will be English.

Absence: Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:

- Update the Christmas break and the Summer break.
- Communication with the Vice-chairman.

Meetings general:

- Everyone agrees with the first two statements:
 - o Everyone will be prepared for the meeting.
 - o Everyone will show engagement during the meetings.
- The third statement means that the scheduled meetings of the FSR have priority over meetings with friends/family.
- The fourth statement about the minutes can be deleted.
- The FSR agrees that breaks can be asked for and given when the majority of the council agrees.

PV:

Working procedure before the PV: Everyone is asked to send their documents for the PV on Wednesday before 16.00 to the Secretary (AS) and your agenda points to the Chairman (Samantha). The chairman will send the agenda to the AS. The AS will collect all the documents and agenda and send this to the whole council. The Committee chairs and the CSR-representative will send their weekly update as a response to the mail of the AS (to the whole council!). Updates should be send on Fridays before 12.00.

Working rules:

- Updates of the Committee chairs and CSR-representative will be send to the AS every Friday before 12.00.
- During the PV there will be no discussion about the updates, there will be room during the w.v.t.t.k. for discussions about the updates.
- All documents have to be send on Wednesday before 16.00, the AS will then send the documents around 18.00 on Wednesday. (fsr.feb@gmail.com)
- AS prints all the documents before the PV.
- Documents send after 16.00 on Wednesdays will be discussed with the council if they will be placed on the agenda.

Councilmember roles: Everyone agrees with the statements.

Tijmen suggests to have the whole council sign a document that if one of the members decides or has to quit the FSR, this member will voluntarily resign from the council. This point will be discussed during one of the next PVs.

CSR-Representative: Everyone agrees with the statements.

- Statements need to be adjusted for CSR-representative from the FSR perspective.

DB: Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:

- Fourth statement: SMS can be changed into 'Whatsapp'.

The FSR has decided that officially the DB consists of the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman. However, for communication to be effective and efficient the committee chairs will also be asked to join the DB meetings.

Chair: Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:

- In the third statement the communication with external parties has to change into CSR and OR.
- In the sixth statement contact will be with the OR
- The seventh statement will be deleted.

Vice: Everyone agrees with the statements: Some adjustments:

- The second and fourth statement will be deleted.
- The last statement has to change from CSR into FSR.

Committee chairs: Everyone agrees with the statements. Some adjustments:

- In the eight statement V&C will be changed into O&M
- The statement about IO/IAO points is irrelevant for the FSR and will be deleted.





- The schedule of the committee meetings has to be made.

Treasurer: Everyone agrees with the statements.

6. Transfer 17.48

Samantha: The old council made sure they have free time next week. You can meet your old file holder after the files have been divided. We will also have a data-training, we can have a training to have effective and efficient meetings, and we can send two persons to the chairman training (Committee chairs: Rinus and Victor).

7. MO-FEB 17.50

The MO-FEB (Management Overleg) is a meeting between the board of the faculty, employees and three students. The students represent the Amsterdam Business School (this position is held by one of the Sefa board members), the Amsterdam School of Economics (this year held by Gwen who is the Chairman of the VSAE) and the FSR (represented by Samantha as agreed upon by the rest of the FSR). The first MO-FEB meeting of this year will be held on Monday 28th of September.

8. File division 17.55

E&R			O&M		
File	Main	Second	File	Main	Second
OER	Mick	All council members	Course evaluations	Mink	
Bachelor split	Samantha	Tijmen & Mick	Communication plan	Nickardo	
Honours	Victor	-	Lunch with the Dean		
PPL	Tijmen	Mick	Blended-learning	Nickardo	
Socratic Model & teaching methods	Tijmen		REC-reconstruction	Rinus	
Study advisors	Victor		FEB-guide		
Midterms	Mick		Student vacancy platform		
8-8-4	-	-	PR-event	Mink	
QTEM	-	-	Thinktank	Tijmen	
			FEB community		
			Website	Nickardo	
			Facebook	Mink	
			WC-krant	Rinus	Mick
			Software	Nickardo	
			Catering	Rinus	
			Blackboard		
			Elections		

9. Allocation model 18.18

Mink explains that the CSR has received the “kaderbrief” on which the CSR has to vote upon. Important is the allocation model in which the university decides how to allocate the money received from the government to each faculty. Currently, each faculty receives money for students obtaining their diploma within four years (Nominal + 1). However, the CSR has suggested a different model, where each faculty will receive money based on each diploma obtained by students of that faculty, regardless of the amounts of years the student has studied. The current allocation model is based on the “rendements denken” stimulated by the government, however, during the Maagdenhuis discussion this idea of rendement was challenged. Samantha further explains that the goal of the allocation model from the Universities perspective is that faculties will stimulate their students to graduate within four years. Mink wants to know the opinion of the rest of the council so that he can discuss this during the next CSR meeting.



Discussion:

- Mick: I find it interesting that one hand you receive money from the University if you for example a board year, but at the same time only the students graduating within four years will provide money to the faculty. That seems contradicting of what they want to stimulate.
- Mink: I personally agree with the principle of stimulating students to graduate within four years, as there are quit some lazy students.
- Tijmen: I believe that the only way the faculty or university is stimulating us to finish our studies quicker is by not showing us all the opportunities of "sidepaths" or ways to develop yourself.
- Mick: I think the years you study should be the time to develop yourself, although I want to graduate within four years, I am not sure if everyone wants that.
- Samantha: But you don't think you can develop yourselves within these four years?
- Tijmen: Do you feel they are really motivating us to study fast?
- Samantha: I don't think the problem with the allocation model is the N+1, but I think the university should on one hand motivate us to finish our study in four years, without discouraging us to not develop ourselves.
- Nickardo: Yes, but do we want a faculty that limits the amount of years we can study?
- Renée: Also, take into account how the faculty will make sure you will graduate within four years (without motivating you), they are likely to make courses easier. So, don't forget about the quality of education.
- Mink: I think it is important to mention that I personally and also other students of the FEB are good with the rendements thinking, but I also expect things to change after the Maagdenhuis discussion, and I personally don't think we should stop that but think of alternatives that we agree on.
- Tijmen: Part of the allocation model is that the faculty also gets paid per credit and in the current allocation model the FEB receives a smaller amount of money per credit compared to other faculties.
- Samantha: To conclude this discussion for now: whether or not it will be implemented, for us the most important thing is that the faculty will remain quality of education. This will be the position of the FEB when the CSR will ask during their next meeting.

Remarks:

- 1) Tijmen will be absent from the 22nd of October till the 2nd of November.

ACTIONPOINTS

- 150903-01 Samantha will send an example of a MEMO and the template.
150903-02 Samantha will adjust the working agreements and send it in Dutch to the rest. After which Nickardo/Samantha/Renée/? will translate it to English.

